

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/678,316	10/02/2003	Robert S. Weiner	04615-0100 32,207A	2582	
3490	7590 06/29/2006		EXAMINER		
DOUGLAS T. JOHNSON			RUDDOCK, ULA CORINNA		
MILLER & M	IARTIN				
1000 VOLUN	TEER BUILDING		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
832 GEORGIA AVENUE		1771			
CHATTANOOGA, TN 37402-2289			DATE MAILED: 06/29/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	-
ъ.	,
- 1/4	7

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/678,316	WEINER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Ula C. Ruddock	1771	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Francisco.	A -4 11-24	 -		
= or or or and rapped strop	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ula C. Ruddock	1771			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 05 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LOWANCE.			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TANA MONTHS OF THE FINAL PRIFER TOX. 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff office of Appeal (with appeal fee) in office with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must of the final rejection. Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth after than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C ust be filed within one in the final rejection, who date of the final rejecti	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following ichever is later. In on.		
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		26/a) and the appropria	to outonoion foo		
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing da).	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offite of the final rejection, e	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on 6/5/06. A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since		
	hara and a san about down of the control of the	20			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or 		ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		maliant Amandment	(DTOL 224)		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		inpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will will will will will will will wi	ll be entered and an e	explanation of		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	it before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(′	ils to provide a 1).		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by					
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).			booduse.		
13. Other:	(r 10/30/00 of P10-1449) Paper N	iv(s)			

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the double patenting rejection over US 6,903,033 has been overcome by the terminal disclaimer filed June 5, 2006.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the Slosberg reference fails to disclose a sheet layer. It should be noted that in the final product, the vinyl coating of Slosberg would have the same structure and appearance as the vinyl sheet of the present invention. Therefore, the Examiner is equating Slosberg's vinyl coating to the vinyl sheet of the present invention. As a result, the rejections are properly maintained.

Ula C. Ruddock Primary Examiner Tech Center 1700